1 of 2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Overtime

Command:	Division:	Number:
South Los		
Angeles Area	Southern	530
	Courient	Data
Evaluated by:		Date:
E. Hofer		10/30/2009
Assisted by:		Date:
N/A		N/A

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

Division Level	TYPE C	OF INSPECTION		Lead Inspe	ector's Signatu	ire:		
Follow-up Required:			X Command Level	-	//J/w			
Follow-up Required:	☐ Exe	ecutive Office Level	☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection					
Yes	Fo	ollow-up Required:		Command	er's Signature	:		Date:
For applicable policies, refer to HPM 11.1, Chapter 6, HPM 40.71, Chapters 2, 8, and 10, HPM 10.5, Chapter 2, and HPM 10.3, Chapters 24 and 28. Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section shall be utilized for explanation. 1. Is the hiring company/agency for reimbursable overtime being held responsible for paying a minimum of four hours of overtime per CHP uniformed employee, regardless of length of service/detail? 2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "ROO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes		_	☐ Follow-up Inspection	1	1	7		
HPM 40.71, Chapters 2, 8, and 10, HPM 10.5, Chapter 2, and HPM 10.3, Chapters 24 and 28. Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section shall be utilized for explanation. 1. Is the hiring company/agency for reimbursable overtime being held responsible for paying a minimum of four hours of overtime per CHP uniformed employee, regardless of length of service/detail? 2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No NA Remarks:				C.	00		•	12-21-09
Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section shall be utilized for explanation. 1. Is the hiring company/agency for reimbursable overtime being held responsible for paying a minimum of four hours of overtime per CHP uniformed employee, regardless of length of service/detail? 2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes								
Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section shall be utilized for explanation. 1. Is the hiring company/agency for reimbursable overtime being held responsible for paying a minimum of four hours of overtime per CHP uniformed employee, regardless of length of service/detail? 2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, then than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes								
1. Is the hiring company/agency for reimbursable overtime being held responsible for paying a minimum of four hours of overtime per CHP uniformed employee, regardless of length of service/detail? 2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes	Chapt	ter 2, and HPM 10.3, C	napters 24 and 28.					
1. Is the hiring company/agency for reimbursable overtime being held responsible for paying a minimum of four hours of overtime per CHP uniformed employee, regardless of length of service/detail? 2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes	Note:	If a "No" or "N/A" box is c	necked, the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	ilized for ex	xplanation	1.	
winimum of four hours of overtime per CHP uniformed employee, regardless of length of service/detail? 2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes								
uniformed employee, regardless of length of service/detail? 2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes				X Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
service/detail? 2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No N/A Remarks: X Yes No N/A Remarks: See Exceptions Document N/A Remarks:								
2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes			gardless of length of					
to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No NA Remarks: X Yes No NA Remarks: X Yes No NA Remarks:	2		urs overtime being allocated					
notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes				X Yes	П No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation? 3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No N/A Remarks: X Yes No N/A Remarks: See Exceptions Document N/A Remarks:								
3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes								
for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects? 4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No N/A Remarks: X Yes No N/A Remarks: X Yes No N/A Remarks:								
4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No No N/A Remarks: X Yes No No N/A Remarks:	3.			V Vaa		- N/A	Remarks:	
4. Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes □ No □ N/A Remarks: Remarks: X Yes □ No □ N/A Remarks:			ed with reimbursable special	Ares	☐ INO	□ IN/A		
overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects? 5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No N/A Remarks:	4.		ring nonuniformed personnel					
5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No Remarks: No N/A Remarks: See Exceptions Document X Yes No N/A				X Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No N/A Remarks: No N/A Remarks: No N/A Remarks:								
than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No NA Remarks: See Exceptions Document X Yes No NA	5.						Pomarke:	
compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes X No N/A Remarks: See Exceptions Document X Yes				X Yes	∐ No	∐ N/A	Tremains.	
regular work shift time? 6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes X No N/A Remarks: See Exceptions Document X Yes NO N/A								
6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No NA Remarks: See Exceptions Document X Yes			Thous worked during their					
a regular day off? 7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No N/A Remarks:	6.	Is "RDO" being written is						
7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No N/A Remarks:			cord, for overtime worked on	☐ Yes	X No	□ N/A	Remarks: S	ee Exceptions Document
Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant X Yes No N/A Remarks:								
Civil Action, completed for each officer of sergeant X res NO N/A	7.	is there a CHP 90, Repo	ort of Court Appearance -	V Vaa		□ N/A	Remarks:	
when overline is associated for civil count				Ares	□ NO	□ N/A		

Page 2 of 2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Overtime

8.	Do the CHP 415s with overtime indicate the employee's lunch period or indicate "None" if the employee worked through their lunch break?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
9.	Did the supervisor sign the CHP 415s approving the overtime?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	. Are claimed overtime meals related to overtime worked within 50 miles of the employee's headquarters?	☐ Yes	□No	X N/A	Remarks: See Exceptions Document
	If overtime is incurred by a peer support counselor, is the name of the employee to whom support was provided excluded from the CHP 415 of the counselor?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12	. Is the "Notes" section on side two of the CHP 415 used to explain any overtime listed on side one of the CHP 415?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13	Are employee's Compensated Time Off hours maintained within reasonable balances?	X Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
14	Is the commander ensuring employees are not incurring overtime due to working over the allotted number of hours for any given Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) period?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15	. Is the commander ensuring uniformed employees are not working voluntary overtime which results in them working more than 16.5 hours in a 24 hour period?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
16	Do the CHP 415 total overtime hours agree with the Monthly Attendance Report (MAR)?	X Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
17	. Are the MARs retained for at least three years and contain the commander's signature?	X Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 2

Command: Division: Chapter: South Los Southern 6 - Overtime Angeles Area Inspected by: E. Hofer Date: 10/31/09

1 490 1 012				
INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, co	· Inspecti s docum	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the ne: cument innovative or	ill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter xt level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide a used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level X Command Level Executive Office Level		Total hours expende inspection: 30 minutes	d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa			
Chapter Inspection:				
Inspector's Comments Regar None.	rding Ir	nnovative Practices	:	
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	le Improvement:		
None.				
Inspector's Findings:				
RDO is documented on a as an RDO.	supple meals	emental report bas are only reimburse	ed upon the ori	rogram, overtime worked on an ginal 415, which projects that day e with the Bargaining Unit 5
Commander's Response: x 0	Concur	or Do Not Cond	ur (Do Not Concu	r shall document basis for response)
Inspector's Comments: Shall a etc.)	address	non concurrence by co	ommander (e.g., fi	ndings revised, findings unchanged,
None required.				

1 of 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Grant Management

40) 3

Command: South Los	Division: Southern	Number: 530
Angeles Area		
Evaluated by:		Date:
E. Hofer		10/30/2009
Assisted by:		Date:
N/A		

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE C	F INSPECTION		Lead Inspe	ector's Signatu	ıre:		
☐ Div	ision Level	X Command Level	7	1/1/2			
☐ Exe	ecutive Office Level	☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection	-	V			
Fo	llow-up Required:		Commande	er's Signature	:		Date:
		Follow-up Inspection		2 0	1/		
	Yes X No		0	-0	1		12-21-09
	pplicable policy, refer t						
		checked, the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	ilized for ex	xplanation		
1.	agency or organization a grant application to a Office of Traffic Safety on traffic safety goals of the Department, did the appropriate assistant of	is proposing or has submitted funding agency other than the (OTS) that appears to focus clearly within the jurisdiction of a commander notify the ommissioner?	☐ Yes	□No	X N/A	occurrence the comm	Should such an le have taken place, ander would have propriate notification.
2.	Plan, been sought for the purpose of condengineering studies, sy implementations?	n, through the Highway Safety raffic safety-related activities ducting inventories, need and estem development or program	☐ Yes	□ No	X N/A		ts of this type were en during the past
3.	the expenses associate identified by the Nation Administration?	ght grant funding to assist with ed with the priority programs al Highway Traffic Safety	☐ Yes	X No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
4.		nsured grant funds are not and other programs or used for time expenditures?	X Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
5.	Unit (GMU)?	nnels to Grants Management	☐ Yes	□No	X N/A	Remarks:	
6.	Was GMU contacted to personnel billing rates or preparing concept paper	used for grant projects when	☐ Yes	□ No	X N/A	Remarks:	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6

Command Grant Management

acceptar by the st as requir 1250) be	rting documentation of consent and ice (of the work, goods, or services provided ate on behalf of a local government agency ed by 23 Code of Federal Regulations Parting submitted to OTS for all grant projects for local benefit"?	☐ Yes	□ No	X N/A	Remarks:
revisions	copies of the grant project agreements, , and claim invoices signed by the Project or designated alternate?	☐ Yes	□No	X N/A	Remarks:
availabili funding a GMU?	inquiries or correspondence concerning the ty of grant funds or other contacts with grant agencies coordinated/processed through	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
prior to e exception	openditures of grant funds approved by GMU ntering into any obligations, with the n of personnel costs?	☐ Yes	☐ No	X N/A	Remarks:
channels	terly progress reports forwarded though to GMU in accordance with the instructions d in the associated project MOU?	☐ Yes	□No	X N/A	Remarks:
12. Are all re MOU be	quirements of the grant agreement and ng met?	☐ Yes	□No	X N/A	Remarks:
with the	project report being prepared in accordance unding agency and departmental ents upon the termination of the grant	☐ Yes	□No	X N/A	Remarks:
	ery invoice associated with a grant funded ontain the project number and name?	☐ Yes	□No	X N/A	Remarks:
acquired of \$5,000	urchases of grant-funded equipment under an OTS grant exceeding a unit cost being documented on an Equipment form OTS-25?	☐ Yes	□No	X N/A	Remarks:
ensure it	t funded equipment been inspected to is being utilized in accordance with the e grant agreement?	X Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Governm approval Governo appropria This wou • /	cations for federal funds in accordance with lent Code Section 13326 including obtaining from the Department of Finance and/or the soffice prior to submission to the late federal authority? Id include any of the following: Applications for federal funds which are not included in the budget approved by the Governor. Applications for federal funds which exceed the amount specified in the budget.	☐Yes	□ No	X N/A	Remarks:

3 of 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6

Command Grant Management

18.	Is a federal Standard Form 424, Application for Federal Assistance, filed with the State Clearinghouse for all approved unbudgeted grant requests received by the Department of Finance?	☐ Yes	☐ No	X N/A	Remarks:
19.	Has any request for unanticipated federal funds met the criteria for legislative notification set forth in Control Section 28.00 of the annual Budget Act?	☐ Yes	□No	X N/A	Remarks:
20.	Are grant funds being used for their intended purpose?	X Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are grant applications related to the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) being routed through the Commercial Vehicle Section before they are submitted to the funding agency?	☐ Yes	□ No	X N/A	Remarks:
22.	Are grant applications related to the Homeland Security Grant Program being routed through the Emergency Operations Section before they are submitted to the funding agency?	☐ Yes	□No	X N/A	Remarks:
Questi	ons 23 through 26 pertain to the Grants Managemen	t Unit	10.00		
23.	Has GMU prepared an annual Management Memorandum to be disseminated to all commanders soliciting participation in the Department's Highway Safety Program?	☐ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
24.	Did GMU send the concept paper as an attachment to a memorandum through the Planning and Analysis Division to Assistant Commissioner, Field, and Assistant Commissioner, Staff, and their Executive Assistants?	☐ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
25.	Did GMU route copies of the Draft Grant Agreement using the CHP Form 60, Staff Summary Statement, to all commands with responsibility for or that have an interest in the project?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
26.	Was a Memorandum of Understanding between involved commands outlining the responsibilities of each command prepared and distributed by GMI12	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
South Los	Southern	6 –Grant
Angeles Area		Management
Inspected by: E. Ho	fer	Date: 10/31/09

number of the inspection in the Chapte shall be routed to and its due date. The	r Inspecti is docum	on number. Under "Fo ent shall be utilized to o	rward to:" enter th document innovativ	or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter e next level of command where the document by practices, suggestions for statewide ay be used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level X Command I Executive Office Level	_evel	Total hours expendinspection: 30 minutes	ded on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forward Due D			
Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Rega	arding I	nnovative Practic	es:	
Command Suggestions for S None.	Statewi	de Improvement:		
grant funded operations grants. Smaller grant operations conducted under the au-	, other peration spices (than the statewid ns, such as one o of Southern Divis	e elements of r two-day occ ion.	eles Area has not participated in any the general speed and general DUI upant restraint operations, are also
Commander's Response: X	Concu	r or □ Do Not Co	ncur (Do Not C	oncur shall document basis for response)
Inspector's Comments: Shal etc.) None required.	l address	s non concurrence by	y commander (e.	g., findings revised, findings unchanged,
racijo roguirou.				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
South Los	Southern	6 –Grant
Angeles Area		Management
Inspected by: E. Ho	fer	Date: 10/31/09

Required Action
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline
None Required.

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.	(1)	1 11 00
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	Ci O, J	12-21-09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	Told IT	12/21/05
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee	AD 1 0	(/4/,
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	H Ankuy	1 (10